

UN-REDD M&E data: end of year 3

Indicator	Baseline	Target	MoV	Actual
Level of engagement in REDD+ readiness	Average score for self-assessed level of engagement = 3.6/10	The level of engagement in REDD+ readiness shows an increase over the year 2 level	Annual stakeholder surveys	Average score for self-assessed level of engagement = 4.5/10, virtually the same as for year 2 (4.7) 😊
Existence of representation and consultation systems	Following implementation of Targeted Support (TS), informal systems (TWGs) have been established or strengthened; but the TF has not been established	Representation and consultation systems remain operational	Programme reports	TF and TWG's remain operational (4 TF meeting and 7 TWG meetings) 😊
Level of participant satisfaction with all entities	Zero for TF and RO (don't exist); TWGs will be assessed	The level of satisfaction for all entities is at least 67%	Annual stakeholder surveys	Satisfaction with TF: 82%; with RO: 91%; with TWG's: 82% 😊
Level of stakeholder satisfaction with improvements in participatory governance arrangements for REDD+	N/A (since the target measures changes in perception, i.e., that capacity has improved, the percentage that felt there was existing capacity does not matter. At the beginning of the programme, the percentage that felt improvement had been made must have been zero)	By the end of year 3, at least 70% of stakeholders consider that national institutions have improved capacity to implement participatory governance arrangements for REDD+	Annual stakeholder surveys	68% considered that progress in improvements in participatory governance arrangements for REDD+ was "satisfactory" or "very satisfactory" (12% increase over year 2) 😊
Level of stakeholder satisfaction with measures for REDD+ awareness raising and information flow	Can be assumed to be zero, since there were essentially no institutional measures for REDD+ awareness raising and information flow before the initiation of the programme	By the end of year 3, at least 70% of stakeholders consider that institutional measures for REDD+ awareness raising are "satisfactory" or "good"	Annual stakeholder surveys	3% consider that measures being undertaken for REDD+ awareness raising and information flow are "not effective"; 76% consider them "partially effective"; and 20% "fully effective" 😊
National approach to safeguards (including grievance mechanisms) has been developed through an inclusive road map process and approved.	No definition of and national approach to safeguards consistent with the Cancun Agreements of COP 16 exists.	By the end of year 3, a country-level grievance mechanism has been developed, tested and approved	Programme reports; Country-level grievance mechanism document	A grievance mechanism has been designed as part of a national approach to safeguards and tested at the District level 😊
SIS developed and integrated with an NFMS	No reporting framework and SIS exists	At the end of year 3, a first version of a SIS is designed and tested and plans/recommendations for its operationalization are developed'	Functional SIS is collecting information	SIS is designed and the plan for operationalization is being developed. 😊
Systems for monitoring forests and measuring and reporting on the mitigation performance of REDD+ activities in place	No national system for forest monitoring or carbon measurement and reporting in place	By the end of year 3, Myanmar has a near-real-time forest monitoring system in place	GHG inventory populated with national data	A near-real-time forest monitoring system will not be in place in Myanmar within the time frame of the UNREDD NP. The SNC team has still not embraced the national data provided by the FD, so the GHG inventory cannot be populated with national data 😞
Pilot interventions under implementation	No pilot activities	By the end of year 3, initial pilot activities under implementation in priority states/regions	Programme reports	Pilot interventions have been supported on community forest strengthening 😊